

**Remarks**

As set forth below, Applicant respectfully submits that the claimed invention is allowable over the cited references because the rejections are based on prior art that fails to correspond to the claimed invention.

The final Office Action dated September 14, 2007 listed the following rejections: Claims 1, 2, 4 and 8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over Bergveld *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,298,222); and Claims 3 and 9-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Bergveld in view of Bussen *et al.* (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0153368). The Office Action also indicated that claims 5-7 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Applicant appreciates the indication of allowability of claim 5-7. Applicant has accordingly amended claim 5 in independent form to include all limitations of the base claim 1 and there are no intervening claims. Claims 6 and 7 remain dependent on claim 5. Applicant submits that these claims are allowable and requests notification to that effect.

Applicant has cancelled claims 1-4 and 8-11 without prejudice for possible prosecution in a related application. In doing so, Applicant does not acquiesce to their rejections or any aspect underlying the rationale used in presenting the rejections.

In view of the remarks above, Applicant believes that each of the rejections has been overcome and the application is in condition for allowance. Should there be any remaining issues that could be readily addressed over the telephone, the Examiner is asked to contact the agent overseeing the application file, Peter Zawilski, of NXP Corporation at (408) 474-9063 (or the undersigned).

*Please direct all correspondence to:*

Corporate Patent Counsel  
NXP Intellectual Property & Standards  
1109 McKay Drive; Mail Stop SJ41  
San Jose, CA 95131

CUSTOMER NO. 65913

By: 

Name: Robert J. Crawford

Reg. No.: 32,122

651-686-6633

(NXPS.248PA)